

**CHARACTERIZING LEFT OR RIGHT CENTRALIZERS ON  
\*-ALGEBRAS THROUGH ORTHOGONAL ELEMENTS**

HAMID FARHADI

ABSTRACT. In this paper we consider the problem of characterizing linear maps on special  $\star$ -algebras behaving like left or right centralizers at orthogonal elements and obtain some results in this regard.

**MSC(2010):** 15A86; 47B49; 47L10; 16W10.

**Keywords:** Left centralizer, right centralizer,  $\star$ -algebra, orthogonal element, zero product determined, standard operator algebra.

**1. Introduction**

Throughout this paper all algebras and vector spaces will be over the complex field  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an algebra. Recall that a linear (additive) map  $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is said to be a *right (left) centralizer* if  $\varphi(ab) = a\varphi(b)$  ( $\varphi(ab) = \varphi(a)b$ ) for each  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ . The map  $\varphi$  is called a *centralizer* if it is both a left centralizer and a right centralizer. In the case that  $\mathcal{A}$  has a unity 1,  $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is a right (left) centralizer if and only if  $\varphi$  is of the form  $\varphi(a) = a\varphi(1)$  ( $\varphi(a) = \varphi(1)a$ ) for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . Also  $\varphi$  is a centralizer if and only if  $\varphi(a) = a\varphi(1) = \varphi(1)a$  for each  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . The notion of centralizer appears naturally in  $C^*$ -algebras. In ring theory it is more common to work with module homomorphisms. We refer the reader to [16, 17, 23] and references therein for results concerning centralizers on rings and algebras.

In recent years, several authors studied the linear (additive) maps that behave like homomorphisms, derivations or right (left) centralizers when acting on special products (for instance, see [3, 4, 8, 9, 10] and the references therein). An algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is called *zero product determined* if for every linear space  $\mathcal{X}$  and every bilinear map  $\phi : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  the following holds: If  $\phi(a, b) = 0$  whenever  $ab = 0$ , then there exists a linear map  $T : \mathcal{A}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  such that  $\phi(a, b) = T(ab)$  for each  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ . If  $\mathcal{A}$  has unity 1, then  $\mathcal{A}$  is zero product determined if and only if for every linear space  $\mathcal{X}$  and every bilinear map  $\phi : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ , the following holds: If  $\phi(a, b) = 0$  whenever  $ab = 0$ , then  $\phi(a, b) = \phi(ab, 1)$  for each  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ . Also in this case  $\phi(a, 1) = \phi(1, a)$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . The question of characterizing linear maps through zero products, Jordan products, etc. on algebras sometimes can be effectively solved by considering bilinear maps that preserve certain zero product properties (for instance, see [1, 2, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 18, 19]). Motivated by these works, Brešar et al. [5] introduced the concept of zero product (Jordan product) determined algebras, which can be used to study

*Date:* Received: February 1, 2022, Accepted: May 22, 2022.

\*Corresponding author.

linear maps preserving zero products (Jordan products) and derivable (Jordan derivable) maps at zero point.

Let  $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  be a linear mapping on algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . A tempting challenge for researchers is to determine conditions on a certain set  $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$  to guarantee that the property

$$(1.1) \quad \varphi(ab) = a\varphi(b) \quad (\varphi(ab) = \varphi(a)b), \text{ for every } (a, b) \in \mathcal{S},$$

implies that  $\varphi$  is a (right, left) centralizer. Some particular subsets  $\mathcal{S}$  give rise to precise notions studied in the literature. For example, given a fixed element  $z \in \mathcal{A}$ , a linear map  $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  satisfying (1.1) for the set  $\mathcal{S}_z = \{(a, b) \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} : ab = z\}$  is called *centralizer* at  $z$ . Motivated by [3, 9, 10, 18, 19] in this paper we consider the problem of characterizing linear maps on special  $\star$ -algebras behaving like left or right centralizers at orthogonal elements for several types of orthogonality conditions.

In this paper we consider the problem of characterizing linear maps behaving like right or left centralizers at orthogonal elements for several types of orthogonality conditions on  $\star$ -algebras with unity. In particular, in this paper we consider the subsequent conditions on a linear map  $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  where  $\mathcal{A}$  is a zero product determined  $\star$ -algebra with unity or  $\mathcal{A}$  is a unital standard operator algebras on a Hilbert space  $H$  such that  $\mathcal{A}$  is closed under adjoint operation :

$$\begin{aligned} a, b \in \mathcal{A}, ab^* = 0 &\implies a\varphi(b)^* = 0; \\ a, b \in \mathcal{A}, a^*b = 0 &\implies \varphi(a)^*b = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space. We denote by  $B(H)$  the algebra of all bounded linear operators on  $H$ , and  $F(H)$  denotes the algebra of all finite rank operators in  $B(H)$ . Recall that a *standard operator algebra* is any subalgebra of  $B(H)$  which contains  $F(H)$ . We shall denote the identity matrix of  $B(H)$  by  $I$ .

## 2. Main results

We first characterize the centralizers at orthogonal elements on unital zero product determined  $\star$ -algebras.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $A$  be a zero product determined  $\star$ -algebra with unity 1 and  $\varphi : A \rightarrow A$  be a linear map. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\varphi$  is a left centralizer;
- (ii)  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}, ab^* = 0 \implies a\varphi(b)^* = 0$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\implies$  (ii) Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is unital, it follows that  $\varphi(a) = \varphi(1)a$  for each  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . If  $ab^* = 0$ , then

$$a\varphi(b)^* = a(\varphi(1)b)^* = ab^*\varphi(1)^* = 0.$$

So (ii) holds.

(ii)  $\implies$  (i) Define  $\phi : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  by  $\phi(a, b) = a\varphi(b^*)^*$ . It is easily checked that  $\phi$  is a bilinear map. If  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $ab = 0$ , then  $a(b^*)^* = 0$ . It follows from hypothesis that  $a\varphi(b^*)^* = 0$ . Hence  $\phi(a, b) = 0$ . Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is a zero product determined algebra, it follows that  $\phi(a, b) = \phi(ab, 1)$  for each  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ . Now we have

$$a\varphi(b^*)^* = ab\varphi(1)^*$$

for each  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ . By letting  $a = 1$  we get

$$\varphi(b^*)^* = b\varphi(1)^*$$

for each  $b \in \mathcal{A}$ . Thus  $\varphi(b^\star) = \varphi(1)b^\star$  for all  $b \in \mathcal{A}$  and hence  $\varphi(a) = \varphi(1)a$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . Hence  $\varphi$  is a left centralizer.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a zero product determined  $\star$ -algebra with unity 1 and  $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  be a linear map. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\varphi$  is a right centralizer;
- (ii)  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}, a^\star b = 0 \implies \varphi(a)^\star b = 0$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\implies$  (ii) Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is unital, it follows that  $\varphi(a) = a\varphi(1)$  for each  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . If  $a^\star b = 0$ , then

$$\varphi(a)^\star b = (a\varphi(1))^\star = \varphi(1)^\star a^\star b = 0.$$

So (ii) holds.

(ii)  $\implies$  (i) Define the bilinear map  $\phi : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  by  $\phi(a, b) = \varphi(a^\star)^\star b$ . If  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $ab = 0$ , then  $(a^\star)^\star b = 0$ . By hypothesis  $\varphi(a^\star)^\star b = 0$ . So  $\phi(a, b) = 0$ . Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is a zero product determined algebra, it follows that  $\phi(a, b) = \phi(ab, 1) = \phi(1, ab)$  for each  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ . Now

$$\varphi(a^\star)^\star b = \varphi(1)^\star ab$$

for each  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ . By letting  $b = 1$  we arrive at

$$\varphi(a^\star)^\star = \varphi(1)^\star a$$

for each  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . Thus  $\varphi(a^\star) = a^\star \varphi(1)$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and hence  $\varphi(a) = a\varphi(1)$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ , giving us  $\varphi$  is a right centralizer.  $\square$

**Remark 2.3.** Every algebra which is generated by its idempotents is zero product determined [6]. So the following algebras are zero product determined:

- (i) Any algebra which is linearly spanned by its idempotents. By [20, Lemma 3. 2] and [22, Theorem 1],  $B(H)$  is linearly spanned by its idempotents. By [22, Theorem 4], every element in a properly infinite  $W^\star$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is a sum of at most five idempotents. In [21] several classes of simple  $C^\star$ -algebras are given which are linearly spanned by their projections.
- (ii) Any simple unital algebra containing a non-trivial idempotent, since these algebras are generated by their idempotents [4].

Therefore Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 hold for  $\star$ -algebras that satisfy one of the above conditions.

In the following, we will characterize the centralizers at orthogonal elements on the unital standard operator algebras on Hilbert spaces that are closed under adjoint operation.

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a unital standard operator algebra on a Hilbert space  $H$  with  $\dim H \geq 2$ , such that  $\mathcal{A}$  is closed under adjoint operation. Suppose that  $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is a linear map. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\varphi$  is a left centralizer;
- (ii)  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}, AB = 0 \implies A\varphi(B)^\star = 0$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\implies$  (ii) is similar to proof of Theorem 2.1.

(ii)  $\implies$  (i) Define  $\psi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  by  $\psi(A) = \varphi(A^\star)^\star$ . Then  $\psi$  is a linear map such that

$$A, B \in \mathcal{A}, AB = 0 \implies A\psi(B) = 0.$$

Let  $P \in \mathcal{A}$  be an idempotent operator of rank one and  $P \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then  $P(I - P)A = 0$  and  $(I - P)PA = 0$ , and by assumption, we have

$$P\psi(A) = P\psi(PA) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(PA) = P\psi(PA)$$

So  $\psi(PA) = P\psi(A)$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . By [7, Lemma 1.1], every element  $X \in F(H)$  is a linear combination of rank-one idempotents, and so

$$(2.1) \quad \psi(XA) = X\psi(A)$$

for all  $X \in F(H)$  and  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . By letting  $A = I$  in (2.1) we get  $\psi(X) = X\psi(I)$  for all  $X \in F(H)$ . Since  $F(H)$  is an ideal in  $\mathcal{A}$ , it follows that

$$(2.2) \quad \psi(XA) = XA\psi(I)$$

for all  $X \in F(H)$ . By comparing (2.1) and (2.2), we see that  $X\psi(A) = XA\psi(I)$  for all  $X \in F(H)$  and  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . Since  $F(H)$  is an essential ideal in  $B(H)$ , it follows that  $\psi(A) = A\psi(I)$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . From definition of  $\psi$  we have  $\varphi(A^*)^* = A\varphi(I)^*$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . Thus  $\varphi(A^*) = \varphi(I)A^*$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and hence  $\varphi(A) = \varphi(I)A$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . Thus  $\varphi$  is a left centralizer.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a unital standard operator algebra on a Hilbert space  $H$  with  $\dim H \geq 2$ , such that  $\mathcal{A}$  is closed under adjoint operation. Suppose that  $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is a linear map. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\varphi$  is a right centralizer;
- (ii)  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}, A^*B = 0 \implies \varphi(A)^*B = 0$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\implies$  (ii) is similar to proof of Theorem 2.2.

(ii)  $\implies$  (i) Define  $\psi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  by  $\psi(A) = \varphi(A^*)^*$ . Then  $\psi$  is a linear map such that

$$A, B \in \mathcal{A}, AB = 0 \implies \psi(A)B = 0.$$

Let  $P \in \mathcal{A}$  be an idempotent operator of rank one and  $P \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then  $AP(I - P) = 0$  and  $A(I - P)P = 0$ , and by assumption, we arrive at  $\psi(AP) = \psi(A)P$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . So

$$(2.3) \quad \psi(AX) = \psi(A)X$$

for all  $X \in F(H)$  and  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . By letting  $A = I$  in (2.3) we have  $\psi(X) = \psi(I)X$  for all  $X \in F(H)$ . Since  $F(H)$  is an ideal in  $\mathcal{A}$ , it follows that

$$(2.4) \quad \psi(AX) = \psi(I)AX$$

for all  $X \in F(H)$ . By comparing (2.3) and (2.4), we get  $\psi(A)X = \psi(I)AX$  for all  $X \in F(H)$  and  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . Since  $F(H)$  is an essential ideal in  $B(H)$ , it follows that  $\psi(A) = \psi(I)A$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . From definition of  $\psi$  we have  $\varphi(A^*)^* = \varphi(I)^*A$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . Thus  $\varphi(A^*) = A^*\varphi(I)$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and hence  $\varphi(A) = A\varphi(I)$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  implying that  $\varphi$  is a right centralizer.  $\square$

Finally, we note that the characterization of left or right centralizers through orthogonal elements can be used to study local left or right centralizers.

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. Alaminos, M. Brešar, J. Extremera and A. R. Villena, Characterizing homomorphisms and derivations on  $C^*$ -algebras. *Proc. R. Soc. Edinb A*, **137**: 1–7, 2007.
- [2] J. Alaminos, M. Brešar, J. Extremera and A. R. Villena, Maps preserving zero products. *Studia Math*, **193**: 131–159, 2009.
- [3] A. Barari, B. Fadaee and H. Ghahramani, Linear maps on standard operator algebras characterized by action on zero products. *Bull. Iran. Math. Soc.*, **45**: 1573–1583, 2019.
- [4] M. Brešar, Characterizing homomorphisms, multipliers and derivations in rings with idempotents. *Proc. R. Soc. Edinb. Sect., A*, **137**: 9–21, 2007.
- [5] M. Brešar, M. Grašič, and J.S. Ortega, Zero product determined matrix algebras. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **430**: 1486–1498, 2009.

- [6] M. Brešar, Multiplication algebra and maps determined by zero products. *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, **60**: 763–768, 2012.
- [7] M. Burgos, J. S. Ortega, On mappings preserving zero products. *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, **61**: 323–335, 2013.
- [8] B. Fadaee and H. Ghahramani, Jordan left derivations at the idempotent elements on reflexive algebras. *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, **92**/3-4 : 261–275, 2018.
- [9] B. Fadaee and H. Ghahramani, Linear maps on  $C^*$ -algebras behaving like (Anti-)derivations at orthogonal elements. *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.*, **43** : 2851–2859, 2020.
- [10] B. Fadaee, K. Fallahi and H. Ghahramani, Characterization of linear mappings on (Banach) $\star$ -algebras by similar properties to derivations. *Math. Slovaca*, **70**(4) : 1003–1011, 2020.
- [11] A. Fošner and H. Ghahramani, Ternary derivations of nest algebras. *Operator and Matrices*, **15** : 327–339, 2021.
- [12] H. Ghahramani, Zero product determined some nest algebras. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **438** : 303–314, 2013.
- [13] H. Ghahramani, Zero product determined triangular algebras. *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, **61**: 741–757, 2013.
- [14] H. Ghahramani, On rings determined by zero products. *J. Algebra and appl.*, **12**: 1–15, 2013.
- [15] H. Ghahramani, On derivations and Jordan derivations through zero products. *Operator and Matrices*, **4**: 759–771, 2014.
- [16] H. Ghahramani, On centralizers of Banach algebras. *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.*, **38**: 155–164, 2015.
- [17] H. Ghahramani, Characterizing Jordan maps on triangular rings through commutative zero products. *Mediterr. J. Math.*, **15**(38): 1–10, 2018.
- [18] H. Ghahramani, Linear maps on group algebras determined by the action of the derivations or anti-derivations on a set of orthogonal elements. *Results in Mathematics*, **73** : 132–146, 2018.
- [19] H. Ghahramani and Z. Pan, Linear maps on  $\star$ -algebras acting on orthogonal elements like derivations or anti-derivations. *Filomat*, **32** (13): 4543–4554, 2018.
- [20] J. C. Hou and X. L. Zhang, Ring isomorphisms and linear or additive maps preserving zero products on nest algebras. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **387**: 343–360, 2004.
- [21] L. W. Marcoux, Projections, commutators and Lie ideals in  $C^*$ -algebras. *Math. Proc. R. Ir. Acad.*, **110A**: 31–55, 2010.
- [22] C. Pearcy and D. Topping, Sum of small numbers of idempotent. *Michigan Math. J.*, **14**: 453–465, 1967.
- [23] J. Vukman, I. Kosi-Ulbl, Centralizers on rings and algebras. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.*, **71**: 225–239, 2005.

(Hamid Farhadi) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF KURDISTAN,  
P.O. BOX 416, SANANDAJ, KURDISTAN, IRAN  
*Email address*: h.farhadi@uok.ac.ir